

Metric	Action	Lead/Support	Timescale	Desired outcome
1: Disability data and staff declaration.3.1% of our workforce	ESR Team to contact all unknown and ask them to fill in their disability status	ESR Team / EDI Lead workforce	Q3&4	Increased declaration rates of disabled staff on ESR – less 'unknown'.
have stated that they have a disability on	ESR Diversity data reminder pop up	ESR Team	Q3	
ESR; however the true proportion of employees is likely to be higher as indicated by previous staff surveys wuch as National Staff Survey. There are 21% of staff who have neither chosen yes nor no in ESR so their disability	Disability and long term conditions network recruitment campaign	Disability and long terms conditions network / EDI workforce lead	Q3&4	
status is unknown.				
2. Recruitment and appointments It is currently 1.7 more likely for a non-disabled applicant to be recruited at WWL	Include a video to be given out to all applicants to introduce them to our staff networks, including the disability and long term conditions network.	Disability Network / Communications Team	Q4	Seeing how inclusive our Trust is can boost confidence and combat imposter syndrome at interview. It can also encourage disabled applicants to ask for the reasonable adjustments they need for the interview.



than a disabled applicant.	Explore values-based recruitment.	HR Lead / EDI Lead for Workforce	Q4	Values-based recruitment removes some biases that can work against those from minoritized groups, such as disabled staff.
	Recruitment team trained on recruitment bias	EDI Workforce Lead / Recruitment Lead	Q4	Eliminate any bias in the front-end of the recruitment process
	Promote careers masterclasses directly to disability and long term conditions network members	EDI Administrator / EDI Workforce Lead	Q2,3,4	Increased skills and confidence in applying for promotion.
	Encourage leaders to understand their diversity data and actively challenge it.	EDI workforce lead	Q3	Each division will understand where their gaps are and will put in place interventions to improve diversity at higher levels.
	Continue work such as supported internships.	Talent for Care lead	Q2-4	Increased number of disabled staff and staff with a learning disability recruited on supported programmes.
3: Relative likelihood of Disabled staff compared to non-disabled staff entering the formal capability process, as measured by	Commission a deep- dive report to understand driving factors and present to People Committee	Chief People Officer / EDI Workforce lead	Q2	Understand the data to put in appropriate improvement interventions.



	T	1	1	
entry into the formal				
capability procedure.				
Currrently our ratio is 2.0.				
4: Percentage of	Rollout EDI / civility	OD Team / EDI	Q2-4	Increased numbers of staff
Disabled staff	and respect training to	Workforce Lead		aware of unconscious bias,
compared to non-	'hot-spot' areas.			importance of civility and
disabled staff				reporting processes if
experiencing				experiencing
harassment, bullying				incivility/bullying/harassment
				from a patient.
or abuse from	Grow the disability	EDI Workforce lead	Q2-4	Increased voice and staff will
patients, colleagues	and long term			have ability to voice their
and particularly	conditions network			concerns. The network will also be a channel to remind
managers.				staff how to report bullying,
				harassment or abuse.
5: Percentage of	See actions for metric			narassinent er abass.
Disabled staff	1 and 2.			
compared to non-				
disabled staff				
believing that the				
Trust provides equal				
opportunities for				
career progression				
or promotion.				



Disabled staff 50.8% vs 57.9% for non-disabled staff				
6: Percentage of Disabled staff compared to non- disabled staff saying that they have felt pressure from their manager to come to work, despite not feeling well enough to perform their duties. Disabled Staff: 32.2% Non-Disabled Staff: 17.1%	Review attendance management policy with input from the disability and long term conditions network.	Chief People Officer / EDI Workforce Lead	Q3-4	To understand what guidance should be changed to decrease presenteeism.
7: Percentage of Disabled staff compared to non- disabled staff saying that they are satisfied with the extent to which their organisation values their work. Disabled Staff: 33.0%	Grow the disability and long term conditions network	EDI Workforce lead	Q2-4	Increased voice and staff will have ability to voice their concerns.



Non-Disabled Staff:				
46.0%				
8: Percentage of	Review reasonable	HR/ EDI workforce	Q4	Improve process, making it
Disabled staff saying	adjustments	lead / Disability and		easy and stress-free for both
that their employer	policy/procedure, in	long term conditions		staff and managers.
has made adequate	consultation with	network		
` ` `	disability network.			
enable them to carry				
out their work.				
Disabled Staff: 67.3%				
9: Disabled staff	Increase presence	EDI workforce lead	Q2-4	Increase staff voice
engagement and	and grow disability			
	and long term			
	conditions network			
Engagement scores:				
Disabled Staff: 6.5				
Non-Disabled Staff:				
7.1				