

Workforce Disability Equality Standard (WDES) 2022

Indicator 1 - Percentage of staff in AfC (Agenda for Change) pay bands or medical and dental subgroups and very senior managers (including Executive Board members) compared with the percentage of staff in the overall workforce. Organisations should undertake this calculation separately for non-clinical and for clinical staff. Data for reporting year:

		Headcount			%				
Clinical /	WDES Banding	No	Unknown	Yes	No	Unknown	Yes		
Non-									
Clinical									
Clinical	Band 1	23	14	2	59.0%	35.9%	5.1%		
	Band 2	596	145	34	76.9%	18.7%	4.4%		
	Band 3	470	140	17	75.0%	22.3%	2.7%		
	Band 4	139	98	0	58.6%	41.4%	0.0%		
	Band 5	768	165	34	79.4%	17.1%	3.5%		
	Band 6	734	201	39	75.4%	20.6%	4.0%		
	Band 7	400	140	18	71.7%	25.1%	3.2%		
	Band 8a	109	35	4	73.6%	23.6%	2.7%		
	Band 8b	10	4	0	71.4%	28.6%	0.0%		
	Band 8c	5	1	0	83.3%	16.7%	0.0%		
	Band 8d	2	2	1	40.0%	40.0%	20.0%		
	Medical &	1	1	1	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		
	Dental								
	Consultant								
	VSM	1	0	0	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
	Medical &	150	77	0	66.1%	33.9%	0.0%		
	Dental								
	Consultant	70	20	1	70.00/	20.20/	1.00/		
	Medical & Dental Non-	78	20	1	78.8%	20.2%	1.0%		
	Consultant								
	Career Grade								
	Medical &	91	23	4	77.1%	19.5%	3.4%		
	Dental Trainee								
	Grades								
	Other	29	6	0	82.9%	17.1%	0.0%		
Non-	Band 1	5	2	2	55.6%	22.2%	22.2%		
Clinical	Band 2	454	114	11	78.4%	19.7%	1.9%		
	Band 3	357	114	13	73.8%	23.6%	2.7%		
	Band 4	283	89	8	74.5%	23.4%	2.1%		
	Band 5	132	28	6	79.5%	16.9%	3.6%		
	Band 6	76	21	5	74.5%	20.6%	4.9%		
	Band 7	70	17	1	79.5%	19.3%	1.1%		



Wrightington, Wigan and Leigh Teaching Hospitals

NHS Foundation Trust

Band 8a	40	9	2	78.4%	17.6%	3.9%
Band 8b	31	8	1	77.5%	20.0%	2.5%
Band 8c	15	3	0	83.3%	16.7%	0.0%
Band 8d	9	3	0	75.0%	25.0%	0.0%
Band 9	8	2	0	80.0%	20.0%	0.0%
VSM	6	1	0	85.7%	14.3%	0.0%
Other	27	3	7	73.0%	8.1%	18.9%


	No	Unknown	Yes
Total Headcount	5119	1486	211
Percentage	75.1%	21.8%	3.1%
Total	6816	•	

Metric 2 - Recruitment

Relative likelihood of non-disabled staff compared to Disabled staff being appointed from shortlisting across all posts.

Note:

- i) This refers to both external and internal posts.
- ii) If your organisation implements a guaranteed interview scheme, the data may not be comparable with organisations that do not operate such a scheme. This information will be collected on the Survey section to ensure comparability between organisations.





Metric 3 - Capability

Relative likelihood of Disabled staff compared to non-disabled staff entering the formal capability process, as measured by entry into the formal capability procedure.

Notes:

- i. This Metric will be based on data from a two-year rolling average of the current year and the previous year.
- ii. This metric applies to capability on the grounds of performance and not ill health.
- iii. If a member of staff enters the capability process for reasons of **both** performance **and** ill health, they should not be included in the count of "ill health only" cases.
- iv. For clarification: the data required is the numbers of staff **entering** the capability process from 1 April 2020 to 31 March 2022, divided by 2.

	Disabled		Non-disabled		Disability Unknown	
Number of staff in workforce	211		5119		1486	
Average number of staff entering the formal capability process for any reason	0.5	*	6	*	1	*
Of these, how many are on the grounds of ill health only?	0	*	0	*	0	*
Likelihood of staff entering the formal capability process	0.002370		0.001172		0.000673	
Relative likelihood of Disabled staff entering the formal capability process compar	2.022184	ı				

Metric 4

- a) Percentage of Disabled staff compared to non-disabled staff experiencing harassment, bullying or abuse from:
- i. Patients/Service users, their relatives or other members of the public

Disabled Staff: 33.4% Non-Disabled Staff: 22.3%

ii. Managers

Disabled Staff: 20.3% Non-Disabled Staff: 9.2%



iii. Other colleagues

Disabled Staff: 27.6% Non-Disabled Staff: 17.5%

b) Percentage of Disabled staff compared to non-disabled staff saying that the last time they experienced harassment, bullying or abuse at work, they or a colleague reported it:

44.5%

Metric 5

Percentage of Disabled staff compared to non-disabled staff believing that the Trust provides equal opportunities for career progression or promotion.

Disabled Staff: 50.8% Non-Disabled Staff: 57.9%

Metric 6

Percentage of Disabled staff compared to non-disabled staff saying that they have felt pressure from their manager to come to work, despite not feeling well enough to perform their duties.

Disabled Staff: 32.2% Non-Disabled Staff: 17.1%

Metric 7

Percentage of Disabled staff compared to non-disabled staff saying that they are satisfied with the extent to which their organisation values their work.

Disabled Staff: 33.0% Non-Disabled Staff: 46.0%



Metric 8

Percentage of Disabled staff saying that their employer has made adequate adjustment(s) to enable them to carry out their work.

Disabled Staff: 67.3%

Metric 9

a) The staff engagement score for Disabled staff, compared to non-disabled staff.

Disabled Staff: 6.5 Non-Disabled Staff: 7.1

b) Has your Trust taken action to facilitate the voices of Disabled staff in your organisation to be heard?

Yes – A network for staff with a disability or long-term condition has been set up. The staff network will have regular events, run a project in line with the EDI strategy and act as a consultative partner.

Metric 10 - Board voting membership

Percentage difference between the organisation's Board voting membership and its organisation's overall workforce, disaggregated:

- · By voting membership of the Board
- By executive membership of the Board

The data for this metric should be a snapshot as of 31st March 2022.

There are no reported disabled staff for voting or non-voting Board members.



	Disabled		Non-disabled		Disability Unknown	
Total Board members	0	*	15	*	2	*
How many are voting members?	0	*	13	*	2	*
Number of non-voting members	0		2		0	
How many are Exec Board members?	0	*	9	*	1	*
Number of non-exec members	0		6		1	
Number of staff in overall workforce (from Metric 1)	211		5119		1486	
Total Board members - % by Disability	0	%	88.24	%	11.76	%
Voting Board members - % by Disability	0	%	86.67	%	13.33	%
Non-Voting Board Member - % by Disability	0	%	100	%	0	%
Executive Board Member - % by Disability	0	%	90	%	10	%
Non-Executive Board Member - % by Disability	0	%	85.71	%	14.29	%
Overall workforce - % by Disability	3.1	%	75.1	%	21.8	%
Difference % (Total Board - Overall workforce)	-3.1	%	13.14	%	-10.04	%
Difference % (Voting membership - Overall Workforce)	-3.1	%	11.57	%	-8.47	%
Difference % (Executive membership - Overall Workforce)	-3.1	%	14.9	%	-11.8	%