

Workforce Disability Equality Standard (WDES) 2025

Indicator 1 - Percentage of staff in AfC (Agenda for Change) pay bands or medical and dental subgroups and very senior managers (including Executive Board members) compared with the percentage of staff in the overall workforce. Organisations should undertake this calculation separately for non-clinical and for clinical staff. Data for reporting year:

Clinical / Non- Clinical	Disability	Headcount				Percentage		
		WDES Banding	No	Yes	Unknown	No	Yes	Unknown
Clinical	No	Band 1	13	1	7	62%	5%	33%
		Band 2	571	48	121	77%	6%	16%
		Band 3	672	34	117	82%	4%	14%
		Band 4	167	11	52	73%	5%	23%
		Band 5	950	48	116	85%	4%	10%
		Band 6	855	74	142	80%	7%	13%
		Band 7	508	23	103	80%	4%	16%
		Band 8a	159	6	32	81%	3%	16%
		Band 8b	12	2	2	75%	13%	13%
		Band 8c	11	1	1	85%	8%	8%
		Band 8d	3	1	1	60%	20%	20%
		VSM	2	0	0	100%	0%	0%
		Medical & Dental Consultant	197	2	71	73%	1%	26%
		Medical & Dental Non- Consultant Career Grade	136	0	37	79%	0%	21%
		Medical & Dental Trainee Grades	103	3	27	77%	2%	20%
Non Clinical	No	Band 1	3	1	1	60%	20%	20%
		Band 2	470	40	99	77%	7%	16%
		Band 3	388	35	93	75%	7%	18%
		Band 4	302	22	68	77%	6%	17%
		Band 5	152	11	25	81%	6%	13%
		Band 6	100	9	13	82%	7%	11%
		Band 7	68	8	14	76%	9%	16%

		Band 8a	39	2	6	83%	4%	13%
		Band 8b	48	3	6	84%	5%	11%
		Band 8c	20	1	4	80%	4%	16%
		Band 8d	8	0	0	100%	0%	0%
		Band 9	7	0	4	64%	0%	36%
		VSM	7	0	0	100%	0%	0%
		Other	7	1	3	64%	9%	27%

	No	Yes	Unknown
Total Headcount	5978	387	1165
Percentage	79%	5%	15%
Total	7530		

Metric 2 - Recruitment

Relative likelihood of non-disabled staff compared to Disabled staff being appointed from shortlisting across all posts.

Note:

i) This refers to both external and internal posts.

ii) If your organisation implements a guaranteed interview scheme, the data may not be comparable with organisations that do not operate such a scheme. This information will be collected on the Survey section to ensure comparability between organisations.

	Disabled	Non-disabled	Disability Unknown
Number of shortlisted applicants	532 *	6133 *	607 *
Number appointed from shortlisting	67 *	947 *	323 *
Likelihood of shortlisting/appointed	0.13	0.15	0.53

Relative likelihood of non-disabled staff compared to Disabled staff being appointed from shortlisting across all posts

1.23

Metric 3 - Capability

Relative likelihood of Disabled staff compared to non-disabled staff entering the formal capability process, as measured by entry into the formal capability procedure.

Notes:

- i. This Metric will be based on data from a two-year rolling average of the current year and the previous year.
- ii. This metric applies to capability on the grounds of performance and not ill health.
- iii. If a member of staff enters the capability process for reasons of **both** performance **and** ill health, they should not be included in the count of "ill health only" cases.
- iv. For clarification: the data required is the numbers of staff **entering** the capability process from 1 April 2023 to 31 March 2025, divided by 2.

	Disabled	Non-disabled	Disability Unknown
Number of staff in workforce	387	5978	1165
Average number of staff entering the formal capability process for any reason	1 *	5 *	4.5 *
Of these, how many are on the grounds of ill health only?	0 *	0 *	0 *
Likelihood of staff entering the formal capability process	0.002584	0.000836	0.003863
Relative likelihood of Disabled staff entering the formal capability process compared to non-disabled staff			3.090909

Metric 4

a) Percentage of Disabled staff compared to non-disabled staff experiencing harassment, bullying or abuse from:

i. Patients/Service users, their relatives or other members of the public

Disabled Staff: 25.33%

Non-Disabled Staff: 16.75%

ii. Managers

Disabled Staff: 15.45%

Non-Disabled Staff: 7.79%

iii. Other colleagues

Disabled Staff: 22.59%

Non-Disabled Staff: 13.99%

b) Percentage of Disabled staff compared to non-disabled staff saying that the last time they experienced harassment, bullying or abuse at work, they or a colleague reported it:

Disabled staff: 53.97%

Non-Disabled Staff: 45.14%

Metric 5

Percentage of Disabled staff compared to non-disabled staff believing that the Trust provides equal opportunities for career progression or promotion.

Disabled Staff: 51.99%

Non-Disabled Staff: 55.03%

Metric 6

Percentage of Disabled staff compared to non-disabled staff saying that they have felt pressure from their manager to come to work, despite not feeling well enough to perform their duties.

Disabled Staff: 25.86%

Non-Disabled Staff: 17.47%

Metric 7

Percentage of Disabled staff compared to non-disabled staff saying that they are satisfied with the extent to which their organisation values their work.

Disabled Staff: 34.82%

Non-Disabled Staff: 46.16%

Metric 8

Percentage of Disabled staff saying that their employer has made adequate adjustment(s) to enable them to carry out their work.

Disabled Staff: 66.95%

Metric 9

a) The staff engagement score for Disabled staff, compared to non-disabled staff.

Disabled Staff: 6.42

Non-Disabled Staff: 6.92

b) Has your Trust taken action to facilitate the voices of Disabled staff in your organisation to be heard?

Yes – Regular disability & long term conditions staff network events planned throughout the year

Metric 10 - Board voting membership

Percentage difference between the organisation's Board voting membership and its organisation's overall workforce, disaggregated:

- **By voting membership of the Board**
- **By executive membership of the Board**

The data for this metric should be a snapshot as of 31st March 2025.

There are no reported disabled staff for voting or non-voting Board members.